

G -compactness and groups

Jakub Gismatullin

Modnet Antalya Conference 2006

- T – complete theory in language L
- $\mathfrak{C} \models T$ monster model (saturated)
- $M \models T$ is small if $M \prec \mathfrak{C}$ and $|M| = |T|$
- The group of Lascar strong automorphisms:
 $\text{Autf}_L(\mathfrak{C}) = \langle \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}/M) : M \text{ small model} \rangle$
- The finest bounded equivalence relation i.e. equality of Lascar strong types:
 $E_L(a, b) \iff \exists f \in \text{Autf}_L(\mathfrak{C}), a = f(b)$
- The finest bounded \emptyset -type definable equivalence relation i.e. equality of Kim-Pillay strong types E_{KP} :
 $E_{KP}(a, b) \iff \exists f \in \text{Autf}_{KP}(\mathfrak{C}), a = f(b)$

- The intersection of all finite definable equivalence relations i.e. equality of Shelah strong types E_{Sh} :

$$E_{Sh}(a, b) \iff (\exists f \in \text{Autf}_{Sh}(\mathfrak{C})) a = f(b)$$

- formula $\varphi(x, y) \in L$ is *thick* iff
 $\forall (a_i)_{i < \omega} \subseteq \mathfrak{C} \exists i < j < \omega, \varphi(a_i, a_j)$
- Θ the type of all thick formulas:

$$\Theta(x, y) = \bigwedge_{\varphi \text{ thick}} \varphi(x, y)$$

- $E_L(x, y)$ is the transitive closure of $\Theta(x, y)$
- *Lascar group* of T :
 $\text{Gal}_L(T) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}) / \text{Autf}_L(\mathfrak{C})$

$\text{Gal}_L(T)$ is compact topological group:
(not necessary Hausdorff)

N, M small models,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}) & \xrightarrow{j=\nu \circ \mu} & \text{Gal}_L(T) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}) / \text{Aut}_L(\mathfrak{C}) \\ \mu \downarrow & \nearrow \nu & \\ S_M(N) & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f & \xrightarrow{j=\nu \circ \mu} & f \cdot \text{Aut}_L(\mathfrak{C}) \\ \mu \downarrow & \nearrow \nu & \\ \text{tp}(f[M]/N) & & \end{array}$$

$$S_M(N) = \{\text{tp}(M'/N) : \text{tp}(M') = \text{tp}(M)\}$$

$\text{Gal}_L(T)$ carries an induced topology from ν :

$$X \underset{\text{closed}}{\subseteq} \text{Gal}_L(T) \overset{\text{definition}}{\iff} \nu^{-1}[X] \underset{\text{closed}}{\subseteq} S_M(N)$$

T is G -compact iff this topology is Hausdorff iff
 E_L is type definable iff $E_L = E_{KP}$

Definition 1. For $G < \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ define an equivalence relation E_G :

$$E_G(a, b) \iff \exists f \in G, a = f(b)$$

E. g. $E_L = E_{\text{Autf}_L(\mathfrak{C})}$, $E_{KP} = E_{\text{Autf}_{KP}(\mathfrak{C})}$

Theorem 2 (Lascar, 2001). *If \overline{E}_L is the topological closure of E_L in $S_2(\emptyset)$, then*

$$E_{KP} = \Theta \circ \overline{E}_L$$

If $j : \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}_L(T)$ a quotient map, then $\text{Autf}_{KP}(\mathfrak{C}) = j^{-1}[\text{id}]$.

Theorem 3. *For $\text{Autf}_L(\mathfrak{C}) \triangleleft G < \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ let $\overline{G} = j^{-1}[\overline{j[G]}]$. Then*

(i) $E_{\overline{G}}$ is the finest bounded type-definable over any small model equivalence relation extending E_G

(ii) if additionally $G \triangleleft \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$, then

$$E_{\overline{G}} = \Theta \circ \overline{E}_G.$$

Proof. The crucial point is:

Theorem 4 (Ziegler). (*Weak openness of ν*)

For $p \in S_M(N)$ define its Θ -neighbourhood

$$[p]_{\Theta} = \{q \in S_M(N) : p(x) \cup q(y) \cup \Theta(x, y) \text{ is cons.}\}$$

Then $\forall p \in S_M(N), U \subseteq S_M(N)$

$$[p]_{\Theta} \subseteq \text{int}(U) \Rightarrow \nu(p) \in \text{int}(\nu[U]).$$

□

Recall that E_{Sh} is the intersection of all \emptyset -definable finite equivalence relations. Let $\text{QC}(1)$ be the intersection of all open subgroup of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{L}}(T)$ (i.e. Quasi Component). Then

$$\text{Aut}_{Sh}(\mathfrak{C}) = j^{-1}[\text{QC}(1)].$$

Remark 5. For $H < \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ let $\text{QC}(H)$ be the intersection of all open subgroup containing H . Then $E_{j^{-1}[\text{QC}(H)]}$ is the intersection of all \emptyset -definable finite equivalence relations extending $E_{j^{-1}[H]}$.

An example

Let G be a group, and consider the two-sorted structure

$$\mathcal{G} = (G, X, \cdot),$$

where $\cdot : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is a regular action of G on the X i.e. X is affine copy of G . Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ and assume that \mathcal{G} is saturated. Then $X = G \cdot x_0$, so we can define homomorphic embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(G) \ni f &\mapsto \bar{f} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}), \\ G \ni g &\mapsto \bar{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}|_G &= f \text{ and } \bar{f}(h \cdot x_0) = f(h) \cdot x_0 \\ \bar{g}|_G &= \text{id and } \bar{g}(h \cdot x_0) = (hg^{-1}) \cdot x_0, \text{ for } h \in G \end{aligned}$$

Special case: if G is a compact Lie group then in the paper of Ziegler it is proved that

$$\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}, G, X, \cdot) = G.$$

What do E_L , E_{KP} , Θ , $\text{Gal}_L(\mathcal{G})$, ... look like?
 We will answer this in general.

Definition 6. $X_\Theta = \{a \cdot b^{-1} : \Theta(a, b), a, b \in G\}$,
 $X_L = \{a \cdot b^{-1} : E_L(a, b), a, b \in G\}$,
 $G_L = \langle X_L \rangle = \langle X_\Theta \rangle < G$.

For relation E on G , let $X_E = \{a \cdot b^{-1} : E(a, b)\}$,
 $G_E = \langle X_E \rangle$. If e.g. $E(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z, x = y^z$, then
 $X_E = \{[x, y] : x, y \in G\}$, $G_E = [G, G]$.

Proposition 7. (i) $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) = G \rtimes \text{Aut}(G)$,
 $(\overline{\text{Aut}(G)} \text{ acts on } \overline{G} : \overline{g}^f = \overline{f g f^{-1}} = \overline{f(g)})$

(ii) $\text{Autf}_L(\mathcal{G}) = G_L \rtimes \text{Autf}_L(G)$,
 $(F \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0) \Rightarrow F = \overline{f(h)h^{-1}} \circ \overline{f}$, where
 $f = F|_G \in \text{Aut}(G/G_0)$)

(iii) $\text{Gal}_L(\text{Th}(\mathcal{G})) = G/G_L \rtimes \text{Gal}_L(\text{Th}(G))$,
 $(\text{subspace topology on } G/G_L :$

$$X \underset{\text{closed}}{\subseteq} G/G_L \Leftrightarrow j^{-1}[X] \underset{\text{type def.}}{\subseteq} G$$

(iv) G_L is the smallest \emptyset -invariant, subgroup of G of bounded index

(v) for $x, y \in X$,

$$\Theta(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in X_{\Theta} \ y = g \cdot x,$$

$$E_L(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G_L \ y = g \cdot x,$$

$$E_{KP}(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in G_{\emptyset}^{00} \ y = g \cdot x,$$

(G_{\emptyset}^{00} is the smallest \emptyset -type-definable subgroup of G of bounded index)

(vi) $Th(\mathcal{G})$ is G -compact $\Leftrightarrow Th(G)$ is G -compact and G_L is type definable.

If G_L is not type definable, then in $\mathcal{G} = (G, X, \cdot)$ the structure on the X is not G -compact and Θ -diameters of all Lascar strong type of elements X is ∞ , so it would be a new example of non- G -compact theory.

How construct a group G in wich $G_L = \langle X_{\Theta} \rangle$ is not type definable?

Theorem 8 (Newelski, 2003). *Let G be group, $X \subset G$ type def. subset and $H = \langle X \rangle$. Then H is type def. $\Leftrightarrow \exists n < \omega$ $H = (X \cdot X^{-1})^n$*

If in a group G , for every $n < \omega$, the set $(X_\Theta)^n$ is not a group, then G_L is not type definable.

Let $n < \omega$. Assume that there exist an [abelian] group G_n , for which the set $(X_\Theta)^n$ is not a group. Consider free [abelian] group with ω generators F_ω . Then we can find a generic subset $P_n \subseteq F_\omega$ (i.e. finitely many translations cover F_ω) such that in the structure

$$(F_\omega, \cdot, P_n)$$

the set $(X_\Theta)^n$ is also not a group. Thus in the product $G = ((F_\omega)^\omega, \cdot, P'_n)_{n < \omega}$ group G_L is not type definable. Hence it suffices to look at the generic subsets of free [abelian] group F_ω .

There is an example of group G with $X_\Theta = G \setminus \{g\}$, but we do not know any example in which e.g. $(X_\Theta)^2$ is not a group.